



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,492	08/18/2003	Joey Jorgenson	ONEI3 - P2966	5486
21259 7:	590 02/15/2005		EXAMINER	
J MARK HOLLAND & ASSOCIATES			HOEY, ALISSA L	
3 CIVIC PLAZA SUITE 210 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>i</i> , k
	10/643,492	JORGENSON, JOEY	$-e_1$
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repless of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIE, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	August 2003.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application		,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		v the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*	* *	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	. • .	•	(-).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, priority and a control of	10(4) (4) 5. (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur		٠
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	. 🗖	Mail Date promal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/643,492

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-26 and 29-37, drawn to an garment, classified in class 2, subclass 69.
 - II. Claims 27 and 28, drawn to a method of constructing a garment, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions group I and group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the garment of group I can be made differently than that of the method of group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: if group I is elected above than an election of species is required as follows:

A) board shorts (claims 1-6, 7, 8 and 11-14)

- B) Lower trunk garment with leg coverings (claims 15-21)
- C) Shirt (claims 22-26)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 9, 10, 29-36 and 37 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,492 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alissa L. Hoey Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3700